2
3

5

4

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

- -

1718

1920

2122

23

24

26

25

2728

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

RONDEL DELBERT GARDNER,

Plaintiff,

v.

GAVIN NEWSOM, et.al.,

Defendants.

No. 1:20-cv-00240-NONE-SAB (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DENY MOTION FOR SPEEDY HEARING ON DECLARATORY JUDGMENT CLAIM

(Doc. Nos. 45, 46)

Plaintiff Rondel Delbert Gardner is proceeding *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On July 10, 2020, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that plaintiff's motion brought pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 57 for a speedy hearing of his declaratory judgment claim in this action be denied as premature because defendants had not yet filed an answer and the time for them to do so had not yet expired. (Doc. No. 46.) The findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice that objections were due within twenty-one (21) days. (*Id.*) Plaintiff filed objections on July 27, 2020. (Doc. No. 49.)

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including plaintiff's objections, the court concludes that the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations are supported by the record

Case 1:20-cv-00240-NONE-SAB Document 50 Filed 08/18/20 Page 2 of 2

and by proper analysis. The objections do not undermine the reasoning presented in the findings and recommendations. Accordingly, The findings and recommendations issued on July 10, 2020, (Doc. No. 46), are adopted in full; and 2. Plaintiff's motion for declaratory judgment (Doc. No. 45) is denied. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **August 18, 2020**